

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

(X)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/717,103	11/19/2003	Rong Yin	03-C-058	8891		
7590 02/21/2007 . STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. Lisa K. Jorgenson, Esq.			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			AMAYA, CARLOS DAVID			
1310 Electronic Carrollton, TX	es Drive		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
Carrollion, 17	73000-3039		2836			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/21/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/717,103	YIN, RONG	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carlos Amaya	2836	

potero tiro i imig or all rippour Brici	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carlos Amaya	2836					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.					
		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: 16-20.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4-7, 10-14, 24-26.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,8,9,15 and 21-23</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will n	ot be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO(CD(DD) Dames No(a)	1					
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	. (P10/38/08) Paper No(s).	had	·-				
· ·		John John John John John John John John					
		BRIAN SIRCUS					
	. (al inc.	בתושבה בתואב פצ	AMINER				
		r arings	2000				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 1 the monitoring devices will detect a normally-open or a normally-closed switch, thus the monitoring devices are a normally open-detection circuit to detect the open state of the switch and a normally closed-detection circuit to detect the closed state of the switch. Furthermore there is no inventive step in changing configuration of the normally-open or normally-closed circuit to detect the state of a switch. The configuration circuit is provided by SMS (security management system) 5, which consist of PLC (programmable logic controller). SMS connects to the monitoring devices and can change the values of the monitoring circuits, page 4 paragraph (0058). Regarding the arguments of claim 21 being analogous to claim 16, claim 16 has more detail with regard to operation of normally-open and normally-closed switches and detection circuits, it also describes precise relationship between the normally-open and normally-closed circuit.